

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Yuginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/720,448	03/26/2001	Antonius Henricus Elisabeth Breuls	750034.430US	1283
7	590 09/10/2003			
Seed Intellectual Property Law Group			EXAMINER	
Suite 6300 701 Fifth Aven		HALPERN, MARK		
Seattle, WA 98104-7092			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1731	
			DATE MAILED: 09/10/2003	<b>,</b>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	·	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/720,448	BREULS ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Mark Halpern	1731		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)⊡ Some * c)⊡ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)		
U.S. Patent and Tr	ademark Office				

Application/Control Number: 09/720,448

Art Unit: 1731

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

1) Claims 1-3, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "said glass fibre" in line 2. Claim 1 recites the limitation "said organic coating" in line 4. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the coating liquid" in line 6. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the liquid coating composition" in line 7. Claim 2 recites the limitation "the liquid coating composition" in lines 2 and 4. Claim 2 recites the limitation "the place" in line 3. Claim 3 recites the limitation "said glass fibre" in line 2.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the above claims.

Also, it appears that reference is made both a coating material and a coating composition. Consistency of terms is required.

Claim 2 should start with -The- in place of "A".

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/720,448

Art Unit: 1731

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2) Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Joechem ("High-Speed Bubble-Free Coating Of Optical Fibres On A Short Drawing Tower", 10/1/1985) or over Deneka (4,792,347). Joechem and Deneka disclose an optical glass fiber drawn from a preform and transported through a liquid reservoir where it is being coated by an organic coating liquid and then flushed with a gas (Joechem, entire document) (Deneka, col. 9-10, lines 1-32).

In the event any differences can be shown for the product of the product-by-process claim 3, as opposed to the product taught by the reference Joechem or Deneka, such differences would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as a routine modification of the product in the absence of a showing of unexpected results; see also *In re Thorpe*, 227 USPQ 964 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

Application/Control Number: 09/720,448

Art Unit: 1731

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 4

3) Claims 1-2, would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the

rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject

matter:

The primary reason for indicating allowable subject matter is that the cited prior

art does not show a method for coating an optical glass fiber, wherein nitrous oxide gas

is conducted over a preform after said preform is coated with an organic liquid (claim 1).

Conclusion

4) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Mark Halpern whose telephone number is 703-305-

4522. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, (9:00-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 703-308-1164. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0651.

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700** 

MM

Mark Halpern

Patent Examiner Art Unit 1731